

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Ernest W. Moody	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7452	
09/894,501	894,501 06/28/2001			MOODY 17		
24258	7590	07/24/2003				
JOHN EDWARD ROETHEL 2290 S. JONES BLVD. #100				EXAMINER		
LAS VEG				COBURN, CO	COBURN, CORBETT B	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				3714		
			·	DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
		111					
Offic Action Summary	09/894,501	MOODY, ERNEST W.					
	Examiner Corbett B. Coburn	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE fthis communicati n app	Corbett B. Coburn ears on the c ver she t with the	3714 correspondence address					
Peri df r Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ja</u>	une 2003 .						
·_ · _ _	s action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disp sition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) $\underline{1}$ is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1190	a)-(d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. § 115(a) (a) or (i).					
	s have been received						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/894,501 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaufman (US Patent Number 4,624,459) in view of Koza et al. (US Patent Number 4,582,324).

Claim 1: Kaufman teaches a method of playing a gaming machine with a bonus round. (Abstract) A player makes a wager to play the gaming machine and activates the gaming machine to cause the game of chance to occur. (Col 1, 18-21) The gaming machine determines the outcome of the game of chance. (Col 1, 22-29) Kaufman teaches that when the outcome awards the player with a bonus payout, the gaming machine determines the amount of the bonus to be paid to the player as a bonus payout. Kaufman does not, however, teach a bonus round as such. Bonus rounds are well known to the art are used to attract players. Koza teaches an apparent game of skill at the end of which the player receives a predetermined payout. (Col 1, 36-52) Koza teaches that such a game will "attract the largest possible market". (Col 1, 28-31) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included a bonus round that is apparent game of skill at the end of which the player receives a predetermined payout in order to attract the largest possible market.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 30 Jun 03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither Kaufman nor Koza teaches a bonus game. Yet Applicant admits that awarding a bonus game is well known to the art. (Applicant's Response, page 3) Furthermore, there is art of record in the case (Baerlocher et al. (US Patent Number 6,425,824), Keane et al. (US Patent Number 6,220,961), and Weiss (US Patent Number 5,919,088)) that illustrate just how well known this feature is. Clearly, bonus games are notoriously well known to the art. The reason for including a bonus game is to attract players. As pointed out above, Koza teaches that the game disclosed will "attract the largest possible market". (Col 1, 28-31) Thus the purpose of awarding a bonus game and the game described in the Koza patent coincide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included a bonus round that is apparent game of skill at the end of which the player receives a predetermined payout in order to attract the largest possible market.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

، مسينه

Application/Control Number: 09/894,501

Art Unit: 3714

Page 4

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on (703) 308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

chc

July 22, 2003

MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER

mumu